UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/623,234 07/18/2003 | | Jeffrey S. Kinne | EMC2-144PUS (EMC-03-045) | 2729 | |
| | 7590 06/10/200 SHARKANSKY | EXAMINER | | | |
| PO BOX 557 | A 02640 | CYGIEL, GARY W | | | |
| MASHPEE, MA 02649 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2188 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/10/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/623,234 | KINNE, JEFFREY S. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | GARY W. CYGIEL | 2188 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>27 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Au no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | t waisan to the plate of filings o baisf | مط لمصمعت مصطفح النب | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the content of the property of the content of the con | isideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | er form for appear by materially rec | idening of simplifying ti | ie issues ioi | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | · | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled non-allowable claim(s). | | • | _ | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| /Hyung S Sough/ | /Gary W. Cygiol/ | | | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2188 06/06/08 | /Gary W Cygiel/ Examiner Art Unit: 2188 | | | | | | |
| · - · · · · · | ALL UHIL. Z 100 | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

[A] Re: There is no "SHIFT" performed by the word packer.

The function of Gaytan et al. is that of data packing. Figure 8 summarizes the function of Figures 6a and 6b. The system receives incoming data that includes valid and invalid data and eliminates the invalid data. Col 10:Lines 43-47 explain that the circuit eliminates an invalid word be refraining from propagiting the invalid word through the word packing circuit. Therefore the received data begins with invalid data whereas the propagated data is "shifted" to begin with valid data. The selectors act as shifters because the incoming data is outputted as "shifted" data in the event there is invalid data (Col 6:Lines 53-65).

If the applicant intends a shift to mean something other than "realigning data" then this should be explicitly claimed while ensuring support from the originally filed specification.

[B] Re: Current Gathered and Prior Gathered.

The claim language does not require a distinction between the current and prior gathered data packet. The data that is currently in the selectors/system is clearly prior gathered since it was gathered at a prior time, but it is also the current gathered data because it exists in the current state as the packet currently gathered into the selector/system.